



# RESULTS FRAMEWORK PROGRESS REPORT (2015-2020)

Technical Annex to the UN Trust  
Fund Annual Report 2020



# INTRODUCTION:

This technical annex to the UN Trust Fund’s Annual Report 2020 provides an update on the UN Trust Fund’s [Strategic Plan](#) Results Framework (RF) 2015-2020, which was first published on 30 September 2015. This is the fourth and last time the UN Trust Fund will publish an update to the RF 2015-2020, as the data is relevant to the final year of the previous Strategic Plan. The document therefore includes cumulative results for the five-year period, where applicable. The UN Trust Fund has published a [new Strategic Plan 2021-2025](#) for which a new Results Framework is under development.

As a reminder, the UN Trust Fund’s Results Framework (RF) 2015-2020 was structured vertically into three tiers of results to represent the results chain set out in the Strategic Plan and how organizations funded by the UN Trust Fund contribute to the ultimate vision of a world free of VAW/G. The structure was also divided horizontally – across the three strategic pathways of the UN Trust Fund’s work – (a) grant giving for EVAW/G initiatives; (b) building an evidence hub; and (c) global giving for EVAW/G initiatives.

## The Results Framework Structure

UN Trust Fund Vision (Impact): Women and girls live a life free of violence

### Tier 1: Grantee project results

**Outcome One:** Improved access for women and girls to essential, safe and adequate multisectoral services to end violence against women and girls (VAW/G)

**Outcome Two:** Improved prevention of VAW/G through changes in behaviours, practices and attitudes

**Outcome Three:** Increased effectiveness of legislation, policies, national action plans and accountability systems to prevent and end VAW/G

## Tier 2: Grantee institutional results

**UN Trust Fund Mission:** to advocate for and finance innovative approaches for preventing and ending violence against women and girls, to catalyze learning from global evidence collected from the programmes funded by the UN Trust Fund and to leverage its unique mandate and convening power to foster global giving to EAW/G.

### GRANT GIVING PILLAR

Grantee organizations achieve, replicate and scale up results on EAW/G through UN Trust Fund-funded projects

### EVIDENCE HUB PILLAR

UN Trust Fund projects generate evidence and knowledge that inform and shape the EAW/G agenda

### GLOBAL GIVING PILLAR

UN Trust Fund grantees are visible and create partnerships to mobilize additional public and private financing for EAW/G programming

## Tier 3: UN Trust Fund results

UN Trust Fund's effective management of grant giving ensures strategic and innovative solutions are funded and the capacity of grantee organizations improves to achieve EAW/G results

The UN Trust Fund creates a platform for harvesting, analyzing and disseminating useful lessons from the evaluated results of UN Trust Fund projects, that measurably informs policy and programming

The UN Trust Fund creates partnerships and mobilizes support for increased and effective global resourcing and commitments to EAW/G, including for the UN Trust Fund

## Tier one (grantee project results)

This tier referred to the results of projects funded by UN Trust Fund grants at the national and local levels, under one or more of the following three outcome areas: (1) improved access for women and girls to essential, safe and adequate multisectoral services to end VAW/G; (2) improved prevention of VAW/G through changes in behaviours, practices and attitudes; and (3) increased effectiveness of legislation, policies, national action plans and accountability systems to prevent and end VAW/G. Grantees self-reported this data, on the basis of evidence collected during project monitoring or evaluation. Results achieved under this tier could only be attributed to the grantee organizations implementing the project. **The UN Trust Fund Secretariat was not responsible for these results; however, the UN Trust Fund made a substantial contribution through its funding to the grantee organizations.** Indicators selected to measure results under this tier were divided between two overall types:

- **Beneficiary indicators:** UN Trust Fund grantees plan and monitor how many people benefit either directly or indirectly from the project, by type of beneficiary. This indicator enabled the UN Trust Fund to monitor results across *all projects* funded by the UN Trust Fund in the same manner and to track how well the projects are targeting core beneficiary groups (women and girls) and those most in need (such as women and girls with disabilities). Targets set under these indicators were possible but dependent on funds available for grant giving and therefore estimates were approximate.
- **Common indicators (thematic):** UN Trust Fund grantees were asked to monitor one or more of 15 common indicators that could measure results under the three outcome areas in a way that could be aggregated across more than one project. If an indicator was not relevant to their project, then the grantee did not have to measure it. These indicators were developed in 2017 based on those found to be most relevant to the work of grantees and the most feasible for aggregation. Targets were not feasible for these indicators as it was not possible to predict which thematic areas future grantees will focus on, given the demand-led nature of UN Trust Fund grant giving. **In 2020 five additional common indicators were added to track results relating to organizational and programmatic adaptations to the COVID-19 pandemic.**

## Tier two (grantee institutional results)

This tier referred to results achieved by UN Trust Fund grantees at an *institutional level* to better achieve results in the field of EVAW/G. It was intended to bridge the results of the UN Trust Fund Secretariat and results achieved by grantees. For example, projects need to be managed well to achieve results, which relies on grantees having the institutional capacity to plan and implement projects effectively. The UN Trust Fund provided capacity development support, but ultimately the grantee itself was responsible for implementing any new knowledge gained. Many of these indicators were measured through an annual [Grantee Partner Survey](#), introduced in late 2016. This tracked the impact of the services provided by the UN Trust Fund on grantees, the added value of the UN Trust Fund compared to other donors and grantees' satisfaction with the support provided. This survey was only partially implemented in 2019 and not carried out in 2020, to avoid survey fatigue (on grantees). Grantees were consulted for a Mid-Term Review of the UN Trust Fund's previous Strategic Plan (SP) in early 2019 and again in 2020 for the new SP, the

findings from which can be found in the lessons learned such of the new Strategic Plan 2021-2025.

## Tier three (UN Trust Fund results)

This tier represented the results directly attributable to the UN Trust Fund Secretariat including its performance delivering results under each of the three pillars of grant giving for EVAW/G initiatives; building an evidence hub; and global giving for EVAW/G initiatives.

In addition to the quantitative results below, the UN Trust Fund collects important qualitative evidence through project evaluations and progress reports. The voice of the beneficiaries and the perspectives of women and girls targeted by the projects, are the most important results to track. This evidence is summarized in the narrative of the Annual Report and in regular case studies and independent, external project evaluations available on the [UN Trust Fund website](#) and the [UN Trust Fund Evaluation Library](#).

# RESULTS FRAMEWORK (2015-2020) – PROGRESS REPORT 2020

## Tier 1: Grantee project results

In 2020, the UN Trust Fund managed a grants portfolio of 150 projects aimed at preventing and addressing VAW/G in 71 countries and territories, across five regions, with grants cumulatively totaling USD72.8 million. Grant recipients are primarily CSOs; the majority (58 per cent) were WROs. As in previous years, when collecting data for this tier the UN Trust Fund Secretariat asked grantees to complete a data sheet detailing the number of beneficiaries reached in certain categories for the previous year. Depending on the circumstances in that year, not all grantees are able to return data sheets, therefore the numbers presented for this tier *represent a sample of grantee results* but not results from the whole portfolio.

**Important note about 2019 data:** data requests are typically commissioned in February/March, which in 2020 coincided with the global impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. Many grantees – who are civil society organizations working on the front lines of response – were unable to complete the data sheet in the time requested due to office closures and diversion of key resources. The data presented below for 2019, therefore represents only a sample of the beneficiaries reached, **as reported by 70 grantees** that were able to return their data sheets in 2020. This data has been updated since the 2019 report was published (when only 61 grantees were able to provide data) as nine more grantees submitted returns in late 2020. As well as the lower than usual response rate, it should also be noted that the UN Trust

Fund had a higher than usual proportion of grantees just starting or ending project implementation. Only 51 projects were active for the full 12 months of 2019, hence the dip in reporting. Further explanation on data reported in 2019, [see the Results Framework update 2019](#).

**Important note about 2020 data:** in this year, the number of grantees supported by the UN Trust Fund increased, with a larger than usual proportion active for the full calendar year. This is due to the award of [35 grants](#) to CSOs in Latin America and Africa in late 2019, as part of the European Union and United Nations Spotlight Initiative, which started implementation in 2020. These grants were awarded in addition to those under the general Call for Proposals (Cycle 22 and Cycle 23), almost tripling the number of projects starting in one calendar year. These projects experienced significant challenges

in implementation due to the COVID-19 pandemic, which are well documented in a series of UN Trust Fund [knowledge briefs](#). However, despite these challenges CSOs were able to pivot and adapt, reaching beneficiaries in new and innovative ways including online and radio campaigns; hence a greater than average proportion of indirect beneficiaries have been reached. In addition, some of these grantees received [additional funds with the support of the Spotlight Initiative](#) in 2020 for institutional strengthening and adaptation and others benefited from [UN Trust Fund's COVID-19 response action plan](#). The assumption, to be tested further through analysis in 2021, is that these adjustments helped grantees sustain or improve reach (especially indirectly) than would have otherwise been expected during crisis.

	Baseline	Actual	Actual	Actual	Target	Actual	Actual
Beneficiary indicators	2016	2017	2018	2019 Adjusted	2020	2020	2016-20
<b>Number of grantees that returned data</b>	68	76	76	70	86	115	N/A
<b>1</b> <b>Number of people benefiting</b> from UN Trust Fund grantee projects per year	6,279,168	6,362,155	7,885,356	3,010,386	7,300,000	31,071,058	54,608,123
<b>2</b> <i>Of which</i> – <b>number of women and girls directly benefiting</b> from UN Trust Fund grantee projects per year	249,377	340,833	384,823	379,721	386,000	242,569	1,597,323
<b>3</b> <i>Of which</i> – <b>number of secondary beneficiaries</b> from UN Trust Fund grantee projects per year, to include men and boys	297,459	195,589	193,580	223,759	225,000	192,565	1,102,952
<b>4</b> <i>Of which</i> – <b>number of people indirectly benefiting</b> from UN Trust Fund grantee projects per year	5,732,332	5,825,733	7,306,953	2,406,906	6,700,000	30,635,924	51,907,848

## Tier 1: Grantee project results – common indicators

In addition to beneficiaries, UN Trust Fund grantees are asked to monitor one or more of the following 15 common indicators that measure results under the three outcome areas of the Strategic Plan in a way that can be aggregated across projects. The UN Trust Fund cannot set targets for the common indicators as due to the competitive and demand-driven nature of the UN Trust Fund grant-giving process it is not possible to predict the results areas future grantee organizations will focus on. Instead, these results are intended as a snapshot of the range and scope of results achieved by grantees across the Strategic Plan Outcome Areas.

In 2020 the UN Trust Fund introduced five new common indicators, developed through a ground-up approach of surveying a sample of grantees with 20 suggested indicators to narrow down to a subset of the most relevant. These new indicators focused on organizational and programmatic adaptations in the COVID-19 context to enable some measurement of the results achieved in this unique context for the purposes of learning and assessing the benefits of additional funds or flexibility provided.

COMMON INDICATORS	2017	2018	2019	2020	2017-2020
<b>1. Number of women and girls using specialist support services</b> to end VAW/G supported by UN Trust Fund grantees (disaggregated by service type)	<b>10,547</b> (38 Grantees)	<b>22,383</b> (36 Grantees)	<b>27,118</b> (37 Grantees)	<b>98,688</b> (81 Grantees)	<b>158,736</b>
<b>2. Number of service providers that have improved service provision</b> for survivors and women and girls at risk, supported by UN Trust Fund grantees (disaggregated by service type)	<b>5,591</b> (39 Grantees)	<b>4,160</b> (30 Grantees)	<b>1,674</b> (27 Grantees)	<b>11,664</b> (64 Grantees)	<b>23,089</b>
<b>3. Number of cases of sexual and gender-based violence against women and girls reported or referred</b> to local state service providers (for example, health care services, police, social protection and so on), through support provided by UN Trust Fund grantees	<b>3,547</b> (34 Grantees)	<b>6,706</b> (36 Grantees)	<b>4,748</b> (28 Grantees)	<b>27,075</b> (72 Grantees)	<b>42,076</b>
<b>4. Number of women and girls who access free legal aid or advice</b> in cases of violence (including economic violence), supported by UN Trust Fund grantees (note – this is also a UN Women Strategic Plan Indicator)	<b>22,223</b> (32 Grantees)	<b>45,757</b> (33 Grantees)	<b>10,471</b> (35 Grantees)	<b>28,034</b> (67 Grantees)	<b>106,485</b>
<b>5. Number of women and girls who demonstrate knowledge</b> of at least one available and accessible service (in the project / target area) that can provide help in cases of VAW/G	<b>95,161</b> (52 Grantees)	<b>135,665</b> (47 Grantees)	<b>48,095</b> (37 Grantees)	<b>94,201</b> (79 Grantees)	<b>373,122</b>
<b>6. Number of local, sub-national or national government institutions</b> that have improved their institutional effectiveness to prevent and respond to VAW/G with support from UN Trust Fund grantees (disaggregated by type of institution)	<b>576</b> (29 Grantees)	<b>348</b> (24 Grantees)	<b>138</b> (21 Grantees)	<b>311</b> (37 Grantees)	<b>1,373</b>

COMMON INDICATORS	2017	2018	2019	2020	2017-2020
<b>7. Number of local, sub-national or national government institutional policies and/or protocols</b> on VAW/G developed or improved to align with international standards, supported by UN Trust Fund grantees	<b>41</b> (13 Grantees)	<b>28</b> (9 Grantees)	<b>28</b> (15 Grantees)	<b>36</b> (21 Grantees)	<b>133</b>
<b>8. Number of significant research, assessments, diagnostic or other studies and reports on VAW/G</b> produced or supported by UN Trust Fund grantees that have informed institutional policy, protocol or legislative change	<b>37</b> (13 Grantees)	<b>15</b> (30 Grantees)	<b>26</b> (20 Grantees)	<b>35</b> (26 Grantees)	<b>128</b>
<b>9. Number of new or improved databases or systems</b> to collect data on VAW/G, supported by UN Trust Fund grantees	<b>28</b> (13 Grantees)	<b>17</b> (7 Grantees)	<b>11</b> (6 Grantees)	<b>32</b> (20 Grantees)	<b>88</b>
<b>10. Number of community and faith group leaders who advocate</b> publicly for changes in behaviours, practices and attitudes towards EAW/G and harmful practices, supported by UN Trust Fund grantees	<b>6,332</b> (27 Grantees)	<b>5,856</b> (24 Grantees)	<b>3,933</b> (28 Grantees)	<b>6,311</b> (57 Grantees)	<b>22,432</b>
<b>11. Number of community or faith groups taking public action</b> to change the behaviour, practices and attitudes of community/faith members to prevent VAW/G supported by UN Trust Fund grantees (disaggregated by type of group)	<b>1,383</b> (23 Grantees)	<b>559</b> (21 Grantees)	<b>598</b> (19 Grantees)	<b>1,487</b> (54 Grantees)	<b>4,027</b>
<b>12. Number of schools supported</b> to improve the curriculum or implement policies, practices or services to prevent and respond to VAW/G (including extracurricular school activities) supported by UN Trust Fund grantees	<b>333</b> (17 Grantees)	<b>483</b> (17 Grantees)	<b>233</b> (15 Grantees)	<b>394</b> (22 Grantees)	<b>1,443</b>
<b>13. Number of businesses and/or places of work</b> (such as markets, factories and transport hubs) supported to implement policies, practices or services to prevent and respond to VAW/G at work and in public spaces	<b>56</b> (3 Grantees)	<b>100</b> (3 Grantees)	<b>246</b> (3 Grantees)	<b>686</b> (6 Grantees)	<b>1,088</b>
<b>14. Number of women with strengthened capacities and skills</b> to participate in the economy, including as entrepreneurs, supported by UN Trust Fund grantees (for example as a strategy to escape or prevent violence or harmful practices)	<b>3,645</b> (14 Grantees)	<b>4,469</b> (14 Grantees)	<b>6,583</b> (17 Grantees)	<b>8,890</b> (31 Grantees)	<b>23,587</b>
<b>15. Number of rural women supported</b> to own, use and/or control productive resources (such as water, energy, land, finance or technology etc.) as a strategy to escape from or prevent violence	<b>2,223</b> (3 Grantees)	<b>1,255</b> (5 Grantees)	<b>1,488</b> (3 Grantees)	<b>1,470</b> (11 Grantees)	<b>6,436</b>

## Additional results achieved in the COVID-19 context:

Common Indicators	2020
<b>1. Number of staff that are able to work from home effectively</b> (e.g., due to a new home working policy, or ICT provision) with UN Trust Fund support	<b>1,149</b> (83 Grantees)
<b>2. Number of staff</b> , engaged through UN Trust Fund support, <b>reporting improved knowledge and skills of how to integrate COVID-19 response into EAW interventions</b>	<b>3,321</b> (63 Grantees)
<b>3. Number of grantees reporting having developed and published COVID-19 and EAW integrated response messages</b> (e.g. media stories, IEC materials, radio shows) with UN Trust Fund support	<b>59</b> (59 Grantees)
<b>4. Number of beneficiaries reached with food, hygiene, sanitation and/or other emergency need parcels</b> (in order to ensure stability of project reach to the most vulnerable under the UN Trust Fund project)	<b>72,629</b> (53 Grantees)
<b>5. Extent to which the organization and co-implementing partner(s) are able to maintain service delivery to beneficiaries</b> under the UN Trust Fund project (% of grantees that responded, reporting that their project is <b>fully back on track</b> – to pre COVID-19 expectations - <b>or exceeding expected delivery</b> )	<b>45%</b> (92 Grantees)

## Tier 2: Grantee institutional results

This tier refers to results achieved by UN Trust Fund grantees at an *institutional level* to better achieve results in the field of EAW/G. It is intended to bridge the results of the UN Trust Fund Secretariat and results achieved by grantees. For more information on some indicators please see the notes section where the data is followed by the asterisk symbol\*.

	Baseline	Actual	Actual	Actual	Target	Actual	Actual
Indicator	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2020	2016-20
<b>Intermediate Outcome 1: Grantee organizations achieve, replicate, and scale up results on EAW/G through UN Trust Fund-funded projects</b>							
<b>1.1</b> Percentage of grantee projects assessed as effective, according to independent evaluators.(measured every 2 or more years)	89.1%	No change	No change	No change (postponed to 2020)	Sustain or improve %	<b>See notes*</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>1.2</b> Percentage of grantee projects assessed as sustainable, according to independent evaluators.(measured every 2 or more years)	70.7%	No change	No change	No change (postponed to 2020)	Sustain or improve %	<b>See notes*</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>1.3</b> Number/percentage of grantees invited to submit a second proposal that are awarded a grant	4 of 4 (100%) 2015/C19	1 of 6 (17%) 2016/C20	4 of 7 (57%) 2017/C21	No change (this modality of grant-making did not take place in 2018/19)	No target (this window was not planned for 2020)	<b>No change*</b> (this modality of grant-making did not take place in 2020)	<b>9 of 17 (53%)</b>

	Baseline	Actual	Actual	Actual	Target	Actual	Actual
Indicator	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2020	2016-20
<b>1.4</b> Number/proportion of (a) small, (b) women's and (c) women-led organizations of all those awarded grants in each cycle (subject to quality of the applications)	a. 10 of 33 (30%) b. 12 of 33 (36%) c. Not measured (2015/C19)	a. 11 of 36 (31%) b. 22 of 36 (61%) c. 34 of 36 (94%) (2016/C20)	a. 11 of 31 (35%) b. 18 of 31 (58%) c. 29 of 31 (94%) (2017/C21)	a. 26 of 79 (33%) b. 47 of 79 (59%) c. 71 of 79 (90%)	Sustain or increase %	<b>No change*</b> (no new grants were awarded in 2020)	<b>a. 58 of 179</b> (32%) <b>b. 99 of 179</b> (55%) <b>c. 134 of 146</b> (92%, – 2017 to 2019 only)
<b>1.5</b> Percentage of grantee respondents to the Partner Survey reporting that additional financing has been raised during the UN Trust Fund grant period (reported in the Annual Partner Survey)	No data (survey was conducted from 2017)	46%	64%	57%	To sustain or increase these results	<b>58%</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>1.6</b> Amount (in US dollars) raised in additional financing for the continuation or scale up of the project funded by the UN Trust Fund (reported in the Annual Partner Survey)	No data (survey was conducted from 2017)	\$6.5m	\$8.2m	\$3.9m (reported by a sample of 75 grantees)	To sustain or increase these results	<b>\$6.5m*</b> (reported by a sample of 23 grantees)	<b>\$25.1m</b>
<b>Intermediate Outcome 2: UN Trust Fund projects generate evidence and knowledge that inform and shape the EVAW/G agenda</b>							
<b>2.1</b> Percentage of independent external, final project evaluations produced which are assessed as satisfactory, good or very good in quality (in terms of evaluation methodologies) every 2 years and by cycle.	60% (of 82 evaluations from cycles 13 to 16 of projects running from 2008-2015.	No change (measured every 2 years)	No change (postponed to 2019)	82% (of 74 evaluations from cycles 16 to 20 of projects running from 2013 -2017)	No target for 2020 (measured every 2 years or more)	<b>No change</b> (measured every 2 years or more)	<b>N/A</b>
<b>2.2</b> Number/percentage of planned independent external, final project evaluations (managed by grantees) that are produced per year	No 2016 baseline (new indicator from 2017 only)	91% (21 of 23)	96% (26 of 27)	100% (27 of 27)	95% or more	<b>100%</b> (15 of 15)	<b>97%</b> (89 of 92)

	Baseline	Actual	Actual	Actual	Target	Actual	Actual
Indicator	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2020	2016-20
<b>2.3</b> Percentage of grantee organizations partnering with research institutions and/or other partners on research and evidence gathering on EVAW/G either during or after the project as a direct result of UN Trust Fund funds	No data (survey started in 2017)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 27% of grantee respondents are partnering with local university/academic institutions</li> <li>• 17% of grantee respondents are partnering with local research institutions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 18% of grantee respondents are partnering with universities/academic institutions</li> <li>• 9% of grantee respondents are partnering with research institutions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 17% of grantee respondents are partnering with universities/academic institutions</li> <li>• 12% of grantee respondents are partnering with research institutions</li> </ul>	Increase the number or % annually	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>19%</b> of grantee respondents are partnering with universities/academic institutions</li> <li>• <b>12%</b> of grantee respondents are partnering with research institutions</li> </ul>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>2.4</b> Number/percentage of invitation only grantees that produce knowledge products on the evidence gathered through the UN Trust Fund project every 2 years and by cycle	Not possible to measure until 2018	Not possible to measure until 2018	75% (3 of 4 invitation only grantees in Cycle 19 produced over 10 knowledge products)	N/A (this indicator is measured every 2 years by cycle).	100% of invitation only grantees producing knowledge.	<b>100%*</b> (4 of 4 invitation only grantees in C21 produced 9 knowledge products)	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Intermediate Outcome 3: UN Trust Fund grantees have visibility and create partnerships to mobilize additional public and private financing for EVAW/G programming</b>							
<b>3.1</b> Amount (US dollars) raised in additional financing for other EVAW projects (reported in the Annual Partner Survey)	No data (survey started in 2017)	\$33.1m	\$29.6m	\$18.1m (reported by a sample of 75 grantees)	To sustain or increase these results	<b>\$31.4M</b> (reported by a sample of 58 grantees)	<b>\$112.2m</b>
<b>3.2</b> Percentage of all respondents (to the Annual Partner Survey) who report confidence that securing a UN Trust Fund grant will enable their organization to mobilize additional resources in the future for the current or future EVAW/G projects	82%	86%	92%	91%	To sustain or increase these results	<b>92%</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>3.4</b> Number of platforms created for grantees' visibility and resource mobilization	No 2016 baseline (introduced in 2017)	29 (detailed breakdown available on request)	55 (detail breakdown available on request)	64 (detailed breakdown available on request)	To sustain or increase these results	<b>104</b> (detailed breakdown available on request)	<b>252</b>

	Baseline	Actual	Actual	Actual	Target	Actual	Actual
Indicator	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2020	2016-20
<b>3.5</b> Percentage of all respondents (to the Annual Partner Survey) who report joining or creating useful partnerships related to EVAW/G programming thanks to the UN Trust Fund grant	No data (Survey started in 2017)	86%	82%	91%	To sustain or increase these results	<b>86%</b>	<b>N/A</b>

**Tier 2 Notes:**

\*Indicators 1.1 and 1.2: The Meta-Analysis 2020 was implemented using a different methodology to the 2016 analysis. This means it is not possible to compare the two data sets. The findings of the Meta-Analysis 2020 can be found on the UN Trust Fund [website](#), in summary it concluded that “almost half the projects in the sample reported some impact and observed reductions in violence against women and girls” and that all projects showed some evidence of progress towards the precursors to ending violence against women and girls, such as improving the awareness of women and girls about their rights and their agency to exercise their rights to live a life free of violence.

\*Indicator 1.3 and 1.4: These two indicators relate to the UN Trust Fund’s annual Call for Proposals. Typically, the UN Trust Fund launches an annual Call for Proposals each year, however in 2020 a decision was taken not to open a call because the prior year had seen three cycles of grants awarded thanks to funds received from the Spotlight Initiative. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, 2020 was also a year in which the UN Trust Fund focused on support for existing grantees. A Call for Proposals was launched in late 2020, but grants were not awarded until 2021.

\*Indicator 1.6. The Annual Partner Survey is an optional survey for grantees to report on how the UN Trust Fund grant has had a benefit beyond the project results, for example on resource mobilization. As it is an optional survey it is not possible for the UN Trust Fund to predict how many active grantees will respond and therefore data reported each year is not strictly comparable and should be viewed as representative of the sample reported. In this case, there were 103 respondents to the Annual Partner Survey 2020, however not all respondents choose to answer all questions.

\*Indicator 2.4: The “invitation only” window is a funding window which allows grantees that have already received funding to apply again, if invited to by the UN Trust Fund, on the basis of promise for scale-up, replication or adaptation of results. Grantees funded under this window are expected to produce at least one knowledge product during the project period, that will be useful for others in the EVAW. As the products are not expected annually, it is measured every two years.

## Tier 3: UN Trust Fund results

This tier represents the results directly attributable to the UN Trust Fund Secretariat including its performance delivering results under each of the three pillars of grant giving for EVAW/G initiatives; building an evidence hub; and global giving for EVAW/G initiatives.

	Baseline	Actual	Actual	Actual	Targets	Actual	Actual
Indicator	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2020	2016-20
<b>Output 1:</b> The UN Trust Fund’s effective management of grant giving ensures strategic and innovative solutions are funded and the capacity of grantee organizations improves to achieve EVAW/G results							
<b>1.1.1</b> Percentage of grantee projects on track to achieve project outcome targets (monitored every six months – Grantee Management System)	94%	95%	96%	99%	95% or more	<b>97%</b>	<b>N/A</b>

	Baseline	Actual	Actual	Actual	Targets	Actual	Actual
Indicator	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2020	2016-20
<b>1.1.2</b> Percentage of active grantee projects in the portfolio that are rated as good, satisfactory, needs improvement or poor for performance over a six-month period (monitored every July and January)	No 2016 baseline (measure developed in 2017)	94% (8% poor)	96% (4% poor)	99% (1% poor)	Less than 5% in "poor" category	<b>99%</b> <b>(1% poor)</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>1.1.3</b> Percentage of respondents to the Annual Partner Survey who report receiving (up to 6 types of) advice or support from the UN Trust Fund Secretariat who were very satisfied or satisfied with the serviced provided (average grantee satisfaction rate)	81%	81%	81%  Of respondents to the Mid Term Review survey.  Questions were slightly different than the Partner Survey of previous years.	No survey was conducted in 2019	82% or more	<b>This question was not included in the 2020 survey*</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>1.1.4</b> Percentage of respondents to the Annual Partner Survey who attended either (a) physical or (b) online UN Trust Fund training that report the training as useful or very useful in the Annual Partner Survey	a. 97% b. – no online version in 2016	a. 98% b. 90%	a. 85% (15% of MTR survey respondents stated that is was 'too early to say') b. 75% (25% of MTR survey respondents stated that is was 'too early to say')	a. No in-person training in 2019 b. 94% (online)	90% or above	<b>a. N/A</b> No in person training in 2020* <b>b. 95%</b> reported the training as useful for the management of the UN Trust Fund funded project. <b>92%</b> reported the training as useful beyond the management of the UN Trust Fund funded project.	<b>N/A</b>
<b>1.1.5</b> Percentage of grantee organization members trained that report retention and use of the learning in implementing the project after the workshop (post-training survey)	88% (2016)	96% (2017) 4% reported using the knowledge rarely	84% (7% rarely & 9% of MTR survey respondents stated that is was 'too early to say')	100% (0% reported rarely or too early to say)	Increase % of those that report using and retaining the learning after the training (annually).	<b>100%</b> reported full or partial retention and use of the learning from the online project management training.	<b>N/A</b>

	Baseline	Actual	Actual	Actual	Targets	Actual	Actual	
Indicator	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2020	2016-20	
<b>Output 2: The UN Trust Fund creates a platform for harvesting, analyzing, and disseminating useful lessons from the evaluated results of UN Trust Fund projects, that measurably informs policy and programming</b>								
<b>2.1.1</b>	Number of visits to the Evaluation Library (on the UN Trust Fund website, created in 2018)	Not yet created (new indicator)	Not yet created (new indicator)	Total: 750 Launched on 2nd May 2018.	1,024	At least 1,000 (2018)	<b>1,727</b>	<b>3,501</b>
<b>2.1.2</b>	Number of knowledge products (on lessons learned from grantees) produced by the UN Trust Fund (by type) per year	2 products	1 product	2 products in draft (to be published in 2019)	2 products	2 products annually	<b>3 products</b>	<b>10 products</b>
<b>2.1.3</b>	Existence of a web-based platform to harvest, analyse and disseminate useful lessons from UN Trust Fund-supported projects (for grantees, staff and select invitees)	None (new indicator)	None (new indicator)	Postponed until 2020	Postponed until 2020	To be created in 2020 (updated target)	<b>Platform initiated*</b> (design stage launched in 2020)	<b>N/A</b>
<b>2.1.4</b>	Number/percentage of independent, external, final project evaluations (managed by grantees) that are published on the UN Trust Fund website/evaluation library (note- only quality evaluations with permission to publish will be shared on the website)	None (new indicator)	None (new indicator)	40 evaluations	61 evaluations	100 evaluations	<b>104 evaluations</b> (cumulative)	<b>104 evaluations</b>
<b>Output 3: The UN Trust Fund creates partnerships and mobilizes support for increased and effective global resourcing and commitments to EVAW/G, including for the UN Trust Fund</b>								
<b>3.1.1</b>	Total amount the UN Trust Fund has mobilized for grant giving in US dollars for each grant giving cycle	\$12.862m	\$13.042m	\$11m	\$34.87m	\$20m by 2020	<b>\$23m</b>	<b>\$94.774m</b>

	Baseline	Actual	Actual	Actual	Targets	Actual	Actual
Indicator	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2020	2016-20
<b>3.1.2</b> Number of new or returning donors (by type) – per year	*1 Member State (MS) donor *5 corporate donors	*1 new MS donor 1 returning MS donor *29 potential and in-kind corporate donors.	*1 new MS donor (Hungary) and 1 returning MS *3 new corporate donors	*1 new multilateral donor *2 new MS donors *3 new National Committee donors *1 returning National Committee donor *3 new corporate and NGO donors	Increase number of (new) donors annually	<b>*2 returning MS *1 new National Committee donor *2 new corporate donors</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>3.1.3</b> Number of multi-year and annual contributions to the UN Trust Fund by type (MS, private sector, foundations, UN Women National Committees) – per year	*MS: 6 multi-year contributions & 6 annual contributions *Private Sector: N/A *Foundations: 1 contribution *UN Women National Committees: 4 contributions *Other: 1 contribution	*MS: 4 multi-year contributions & 6 annual contributions *Private Sector: 3 contributions Foundations: 1 contribution *UN Women National Committees: 4 contributions *Other: 2 individual contributions	*MS: 4 multi-year contributions, 1 pledge for new multi-year contribution, 10 annual contributions; *Private Sector and Foundations: 6 annual contributions *UN Women National Committees: 5 annual contributions *Other: 2 individual contributions	*MS:5 multi-year contribution, 8 annual contributions *Private Sector and Foundations: 6 annual contributions *UN Women National Committees: 9 annual contributions *Other: 1 individual contribution	Increase the number and amounts of multi-year and annual contributions	<b>*Member States: 4 multi-year contributions; 10 annual contributions *Private Sector and Foundations: 7 annual contributions *UN Women National Committees: 10 annual contributions</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>3.1.4</b> Number of advocacy events for global giving to EAW/G organized and attended by the UN Trust Fund	4 events	5 events	6 events	7 events	Sustain and increase the number of advocacy events which are well attended	<b>15 events</b> (detail available on request)	<b>37 events</b>

	Baseline	Actual	Actual	Actual	Targets	Actual	Actual
Indicator	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2020	2016-20
<b>3.1.5</b> (a) Number of site visits to the UN Trust Fund website annually; (b) Number and type of registered users (for example, on mailing list for updates); (c) Number and type of public relations and communications materials produced and published for resource mobilization, annually	(a) 2,483 individual page views to the website (b) 944 registered users on mailing list (c) 13 (6 case studies, 1 press release and 6 news)	(a) 52,875 individual page views (b) 1,130 registered users on mailing list (c) 23 (13 case studies, 6 brown bag events, 3 video stories)	a) 72,266 individual page views b) 1,483 registered users on mailing list end of 2018 c) 45 (17 case studies, 7 event write-ups, 8 video stories, 13 email updates)	a) 119,827 individual page views b) 1,908 registered users on mailing list end of 2019 c) 71 (15 case studies, 4 event write-ups, 24 video stories, 23 eblasts, 5 brown bag events)	Increase site visits, users and number of products annually	<b>a) 126,985</b> individual page views <b>b) 7,437</b> registered users on mailing list (cumulative) <b>c) 103</b> (26 case studies, 6 event write ups, 38 video stories, 33 eblasts, 1 new platform created to promote the UN Trust Fund and its grantees work)	<b>a) 374,436</b> individual page views <b>b) 7,437</b> registered users on mailing list (cumulative) <b>c) 255</b> public relations and comms materials

**Tier 3 notes:**

\*Indicator 1.1.3: To avoid survey/consultation fatigue amongst grantees it was decided not to conduct a survey on perceptions of the UN Trust Fund's support because similar questions were being asked under the auspices of the consultation for the new UN Trust Fund's Strategic Plan 2021-2025. Lessons learned on the UN Trust Fund's support for grantees from this consultation have been summarized and documented in the "lessons learned" section of the [new SP](#).

\*Indicator 1.1.4: No in person trainings or workshops were carried out for UN Trust Fund grantees in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

\*Indicator 2.1.3: Funding for the platform was secured in mid-2020, therefore design and scoping started in 2020 but the launch of the platform will not happen until mid-2021.

\*Indicators 3.1.2 to 3.1.4: More detail on the donor contributions received and the events organized are available on request.



220 East 42nd Street  
New York, NY 10017, USA  
@UNTrustFundEVAW #UNTF  
[www.untf.unwomen.org](http://www.untf.unwomen.org)

